

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,317	04/21/2004	Wen-Kuen Chen	E0523-00059	5674	
8933 7	590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT			DINH, TRINH VO		
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			2821		

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/829,317	CHEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Trinh Vo Dinh	2821	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started by the Office later than three months after the material part of the materia	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on and This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro		s is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,14 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3,12,13 and 15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and concentration on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) objected to by the lead to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.0.0.7.0.7.0.7.7.7.0.7.0.2	••
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔯 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 08) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/829,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's communication filed on 09/01/2005 has been carefully considered by the examiner. In view of a further search, a new rejection is set forth below. This action is not made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komatsu et al (US 6,791,260).

Respecting claims 1, 4 and 5, Komatsu discloses organic light-emitting diode structures (Fig. 2) forming an array, each of the organic diode structures comprising first and second anodes (107, 118 in Fig. 10), first and second organic light emitting layers (103) disposed between the first and second anodes, and a first electrode (108) disposed between the first and second organic light-emitting layers (103), and an insulating layer (109 or 119) disposed between the first and second electrodes (107, 118).

Respecting claim 2 and 11, Komatsu discloses light being emitted from at least one of the first and second organic light-layers (103) when an electric current is passed between one of the first and the second anodes and the first electrode

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/829,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komatsu in view of Wang (US 6,043,478).

Respecting claim 6, Komatsu discloses every feature of the claimed invention except transistors. Wang discloses a first transistor (M1) coupled to each of the organic light-emitting diode structures (Fig. 4), and a second transistor (M2) coupled to each of the organic light-emitting diode structures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Komatsu's display with transistors as taught by Fang in order to drive pixels of organic structures.

Respecting claims 7-10, Wang discloses, in Fig. 4, the first transistor (S1) being coupled to one of the first and the second anodes of the organic light-emitting diode structures while the second transistor (M2) being coupled to the other one of the first and the second anodes of the organic light-emitting diode structures. Wang further discloses a third transistor (M4, M3) coupled to the first and the second transistors (S1, S2), the first and the second transistors drive the organic light-emitting diode structures, and the third switch (M3, M4) switching the first and second transistors (M1, M2).

5. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kontogeorgakis et al (US 6,822,611 B1) in view of Komatsu et al (US 6,791,260).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,317

Art Unit: 2821

Respecting claim 14, Kontogeorgakis discloses, in Fig. 4, a telecommunication device comprising a main body (12), a flip-up door (14), and a display (16) beneath the flip-up door. However, Kontogeorgakis does not suggest the display comprising diode structures which each structure including anodes, organic light-emitting layers and an electrode. Komatsu discloses the display comprising organic light-emitting diode structures (Fig. 2) forming an array, each of the organic diode structures comprising first and second anodes (107, 118 in Fig. 10), first and second organic light emitting layers (103) disposed between the first and second anodes, and a first electrode (108) disposed between the first and second organic light-emitting layers (103). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ Komatsu's structure to communication device of Kontogeorgakis. Doing so would reduce display size to reduce an emission area (Komatsu: col. 3, lines 3-5).

Respecting claim 16, Komatsu discloses the structure comprising an insulating layer (109 or 119) disposed between the first and second electrodes (107, 118).

6. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kontogeorgakis as modified by Komatsu, and further in view of Wang (US 6,043,478).

Respecting claim 17, Kontogeorgakis as modified by Komatsu discloses every feature of the claimed invention except transistors. Wang discloses a first transistor (M1) coupled to each of the organic light-emitting diode structures (Fig. 4), and a second transistor (M2) coupled to each of the organic light-emitting diode structures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Komatsu's display with transistors as taught by Wang in order to drive pixels of organic structures.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,317

Art Unit: 2821

Respecting claim 18, Wang further discloses a third transistor (M4, M3) coupled to the first and the second transistors (S1, S2),

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 12-13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The cited art of record fails to teach the organic light emitting diode structure comprising a second electrode disposed between the fist and the second organic light-emitting layers.

Inquiry

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821

Art Unit: 2821

Trinh Vo Dinh October 20, 2005